



# High-coverage sequencing and annotated assembly of the genome of the Australian dragon lizard *Pogona vitticeps*

Georges *et al*.



# DATA NOTE







# High-coverage sequencing and annotated assembly of the genome of the Australian dragon lizard *Pogona vitticeps*

Arthur Georges<sup>1\*†</sup>, Qiye Li<sup>2,3†</sup>, Jinmin Lian<sup>2</sup>, Denis O'Meally<sup>1</sup>, Janine Deakin<sup>1</sup>, Zongji Wang<sup>2,4</sup>, Pei Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Matthew Fujita<sup>7</sup>, Hardip R. Patel<sup>6</sup>, Clare E. Holleley<sup>1</sup>, Yang Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Xiuwen Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Kazumi Matsubara<sup>1</sup>, Paul Waters<sup>5</sup>, Jennifer A. Marshall Graves<sup>1,8</sup>, Stephen D. Sarre<sup>1</sup> and Guojie Zhang<sup>2,9\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** The lizards of the family Agamidae are one of the most prominent elements of the Australian reptile fauna. Here, we present a genomic resource built on the basis of a wild-caught male ZZ central bearded dragon *Pogona vitticeps*.

**Findings:** The genomic sequence for *P. vitticeps*, generated on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform, comprised 317 Gbp (179X raw read depth) from 13 insert libraries ranging from 250 bp to 40 kbp. After filtering for low-quality and duplicated reads, 146 Gbp of data (83X) was available for assembly. Exceptionally high levels of heterozygosity (0.85 % of single nucleotide polymorphisms plus sequence insertions or deletions) complicated assembly; nevertheless, 96.4 % of reads mapped back to the assembled scaffolds, indicating that the assembly included most of the sequenced genome. Length of the assembly was 1.8 Gbp in 545,310 scaffolds (69,852 longer than 300 bp), the longest being 14.68 Mbp. N50 was 2.29 Mbp. Genes were annotated on the basis of *de novo* prediction, similarity to the green anole *Anolis carolinensis*, *Gallus gallus* and *Homo sapiens* proteins, and *P. vitticeps* transcriptome sequence assemblies, to yield 19,406 protein-coding genes in the assembly, 63 % of which had intact open reading frames. Our assembly captured 99 % (246 of 248) of core CEGMA genes, with 93 % (231) being complete.

**Conclusions:** The quality of the *P. vitticeps* assembly is comparable or superior to that of other published squamate genomes, and the annotated *P. vitticeps* genome can be accessed through a genome browser available at https://genomics.canberra.edu.au.

Keywords: Pogona vitticeps, Dragon lizard, Central bearded dragon, Agamidae, Squamata, Next-generation sequencing

# **Data description**

The central bearded dragon, *Pogona vitticeps*, is widespread through the arid and semi-arid regions of eastern central Australia. This lizard adapts readily to captivity, lays large clutches of eggs several times per season, and is kept as a favoured pet species in Europe, Asia and North America. The karyotype of *P. vitticeps* is typical of most Australian agamids, consisting of six pairs of macrochromosomes and ten pairs of microchromosomes (2n = 32)

<sup>2</sup>China National GeneBank, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



# Samples and sequencing

DNA samples were obtained from a blood sample taken from a single male *Pogona vitticeps* (Fabian, UCID 001003387339) verified as a ZZ male using sex-linked polymerase chain reaction (PCR) markers [3] and cytological examination [2]. This work was undertaken in



© 2015 Georges et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: georges@aerg.canberra.edu.au; zhanggj@genomics.cn <sup>†</sup>Equal contributors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute for Applied Ecology, University of Canberra, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

			Raw data				Filtered data				
lnsert size (bp)	Accession numbers	Nunber of libraries	Read length (bp)	Raw data (Gbp)	Average read depth (X)	Physical coverage (X)	Read length (bp)	Filtered data (Gbp)	Average read depth (X)	Physical coverage (X)	
250	ERR409943	1	150	55.17	31.17	25.97	125	42.49	24.01	24.00	
	ERR409944										
500	ERR409945	1	150	34.32	19.39	32.32	125	23.66	13.37	26.72	
	ERR409946										
800	ERR409947	1	150	46.28	26.15	69.72	125	32.2	18.19	60.63	
2,000	ERR440173	2	49	38.39	21.69	442.64	49	18.19	10.28	209.73	
	ERR409948										
5,000	ERR409949	1	49	17.48	9.88	503.95	49	6.56	3.71	188.99	
6,000	ERR409950	1	49	17.43	9.85	603.01	49	6.01	3.4	208.00	
10,000	ERR409951	2	49	34.94	19.74	2,014.60	49	7.89	4.46	455.00	
	ERR409952										
20,000	ERR409953	2	49	38.53	21.77	4,443.48	49	6.63	3.75	764.38	
	ERR409954										
40,000	ERR409955	2	49	34.4	19.44	7,932.30	49	2.75	1.55	633.29	
	ERR409956										
		13		316.94	179.06	16,067.99		146.38	82.7	2,570.74	

 Table 1
 Summary of sequencing data derived from paired-end sequencing of 13 insert libraries using an Illumina HiSeq 2000
 platform

Read depth was calculated on the basis of a genome size of 1.77 Gbp. Average read depth, number of times on average a particular base is included in a read. Physical coverage, the number of times on average a particular base is spanned by a paired read



**Fig. 1** K-mer spectrum for the genome sequence of a male *Pogona vitticeps* (ZZ). Sequencing DNA derived from the short-insert libraries (250, 500, 800 bp) yielded 98.35 Gbases of clean data in the form of 125 bp reads, which generated 76.89x109 17-mer sequences. The solid line shows the k-mer spectrum (percentage frequency against k-mer copy number). The second mode (copy number 48.5) represents homozygous single copy sequence, whereas the first mode (24.5), half the copy number of the first, represents heterozygous single copy sequence. Heterozygosity is high, which complicated assembly

accordance with the Australian Capital Territory Animal Welfare Act 1992 and the approval of the University of Canberra Animal Ethics Committee. DNA was extracted and purified using standard protocols and transported to BGI-Shenzhen, China for sequencing. 13 insert libraries were constructed with insert sizes of 250 bp, 500 bp, 800 bp, 2 kbp (x2), 5 kbp, 6 kbp, 10 kbp (x2), 20 kbp (x2) and 40 kbp (x2) and subjected to paired-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform to generate 317 Gbp of raw sequence (Table 1). After filtering for low-quality reads and duplicated reads arising from

**Table 2** Statistics for the assembly contigs and scaffolds (after gap filling)

	Contig		Scaffold	
	Size (bp)	Number	Size (bp)	Number
N90	4,850	63,958	200,992	1,095
N80	12,159	42,491	670,865	644
N70	18,332	30,884	1,149,567	441
N60	24,540	22,654	1,671,674	311
N50	31,298	16,344	2,290,546	219
Longest	295,776		14,681,335	
Total size	1,747,524,961		1,816,115,349	
≥100 bp		636,524		545,300
≥2 kbp		79,002		4,356
Gap ratio		0 %		3.78 %

Specimen ID	Accession	Tissue	Genotype	Phenotype	RPKM >0		RPKM >1		RPKM >5	
(tissue ID)	number				Number	Ratio (%)	Number	Ratio (%)	Number	Ratio (%)
1003347859	ERR753524	Brain	ZZ	Intersex	17,049	87.85	14,403	74.22	11,244	57.94
(AA45100)										
1003338787	ERR753525	Brain	ZZ	Male	16,934	87.26	14,467	74.55	11,359	58.53
(AA60463)										
1003348364	ERR753526	Brain	ZW	Female	17,121	88.23	14,526	74.85	11,474	59.13
(AA60435)										
1003347859	ERR753527	Testes	ZZ	Intersex	16,874	86.95	13,874	71.49	10,784	55.57
(AA45100)										
1003347859	ERR753528	Ovary	ZZ	Intersex	16,827	86.71	12,952	66.74	10,421	53.7
(AA45100)										
1003338787	ERR753529	Testes	ZZ	Male	17,963	92.56	14,951	77.04	11,311	58.29
(AA60463)										
1003348364	ERR753530	Ovary	ZW	Female	17,188	88.57	13,634	70.26	10,946	56.41
(AA60435)										
Combined					18,833	97.05	17,646	90.93	15,974	82.31

Table 3 Number of predicted genes with RNA-seq signals

Gene expression levels were measured as RPKM (reads per kilobase of gene per million mapped reads). Ratios are based on a total of 19,406 annotated protein-coding genes

PCR amplification during library construction, 146.38 Gbp of data were retained for genome assembly. This amount of data represents an average read depth of 82.7 (Table 1), assuming a genome size of 1.81 pg, as estimated for a female *P. vitticeps* by flow cytometry [5]. This mass converts to a genome size of 1.77 Gbp [6].

Reads from the short-insert libraries (250, 500 and 800 bp) were decomposed into short sequences of length k (k-mers, with k = 17) using Jellyfish version 1.1 [7]. The histogram of k-mer copy number (Fig. 1) was strongly bi-modal, the first mode with a copy number that was half that of the second, which reflects the high level of heterozygosity in this wild-caught lizard (0.85 % of single nucleotide polymorphisms [SNPs] plus sequence insertions or deletions [indels]). The second mode in the k-mer graph was used to obtain an estimate of the genome size using the formula:

**Table 4** The statistics for repeats in the *P. vitticeps* genome annotated by different methods

Program	Total repeat length (bp)	Percentage of genome
Tandem Repeats Finder	59,773,950	3.42
Repeatmasker	174,011,206	9.96
Proteinmask	157,050,977	8.99
RepeatModeler	592,771,829	33.92
LTR Finder	65,464,996	3.75
Total	689,687,572	39.47

Average Read Depth = Mode. 
$$\frac{L}{L-k+1}$$
 = 55.62 fold

Genome Size = 
$$\frac{Total \ of \ Read \ Lengths}{Average \ Read \ Depth} = 1.768 \ Gbp$$

where L is the read length (125 bp), k is the k-mer length (17 bp), there are 98.35 Gbp of sequence data, and the mode is taken from the k-mer graph (48.5, Fig. 1). Our sequence-based estimate of 1.768 Gbp agrees well with the estimate of 1.77 Gbp for the ZW genome that was previously made using flow cytometry data [4].

### Assembly

Assembly was performed with SOAPdenovo (version 2.03) [8, 9]. Briefly, the sequences derived from the shortinsert libraries were decomposed into k-mers to construct the de Bruijn graph, which was simplified to allow connection of the remaining k-mers into contiguous sequence (contigs). We then aligned the paired-end reads from small and large-insert library sets to the contigs, calculated the support for relationships between contigs, assessed the consistent and conflicting relationships, and constructed scaffolds. Finally, we retrieved paired reads that mapped to a unique contig but had the other member of the pair located in a gap region. Reads falling in the same gap region were then assembled locally. The final assembly (European Nucleotide Archive [ENA] accession number ERZ094017) yielded a contig N50 of 31.3 kbp and a scaffold N50 of 2.3 Mbp (N50 meaning that 50 % of the

Category	Repbase TEs		TE proteins		de novo		Combined TEs		
	Length (bp)	% of genome	Length (bp)	% of genome	Length (bp)	% of genome	Length (bp)	% of genome	
DNA	25,035,683	1.43	6,450,126	0.37	56,943,252	3.26	70,663,766	4.04	
LINE	124,676,466	7.13	132,747,210	7.60	191,015,014	10.93	213,508,152	12.22	
SINE	20,281,741	1.16	-	0.00	54,941,907	3.14	57,180,364	3.27	
LTR	7,613,766	0.44	17,931,338	1.03	16,104,019	0.92	28,021,391	1.60	
Other	24,327	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	24,327	0.00	
Unknown	761,119	0.04	-	0.00	283,563,847	16.23	284,276,315	16.27	
Total	174,011,206	9.96	157,050,977	8.99	627,828,869	35.93	657,625,603	37.63	

Table 5 Breakdown of repeat content of the Pogona vitticeps genome derived from RepeatMasker analysis

Abbreviations: LINE long interspersed nuclear element, LTR long terminal repeat, SINE short interspersed nuclear element, TE transposable element

genome sequence is contained in contigs, or scaffolds, equal to or greater than this length), with unclosed gap regions representing only 3.78 % of the assembly (Table 2).

Reads from small-insert libraries that satisfied our filtering criteria were aligned to the assembly using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA, version 0.5.9-R16) [10], allowing for eight mismatches and one indel. Of the total number of reads (797.4 M), 96.4 % could be mapped back to the assembled genome and they covered 98.4 % of the assembly excluding gaps. Bases in the assembled scaffolds had, on average, reads mapped with 55X read depth. These data suggest that we have assembled most of the P. vitticeps genome. In addition, we used the CEGMA package (version 2.4) [11] to map 248 core eukaryotic genes to our P. vitticeps assembly. Our assembly captured 99 % (246 of 248) of the core CEGMA genes, with 93 % (231) being complete. This is a higher assembly rate than that estimated for the green anole Anolis carolinensis assembly (AnoCar2.0), which captured 93.6 % (232) of the core genes, with 85.9 % (213) being complete.

# Transcriptomes

We generated transcriptome data from the brain, heart, lung, liver, kidney, skeletal muscle and gonads of male and female P. vitticeps (Table 3). None of the seven animals from which we collected RNA was used in generating the genome sequence. Two sets of sequencing runs on two different male and female individuals were performed by BGI-Shenzen, producing 309,436,077 90 bp paired-end reads (ENA accession numbers ERR753524-ERR753530 and ERR413064-ERR413076). A third set of samples was sequenced by The Ramaciotti Centre, University of New South Wales, Australia, including a sex-reversed ZZ female, producing 89,687,526 101 bp paired-end reads (ENA accession numbers ERR413077- ERR413082). We assembled these datasets (from all seven individuals) into 595,564 contigs using Trinity (release r2013\_08\_14) [12] with default parameters (ENA accession number ERZ097159). Only the first set of RNA-seq reads was available for genome annotation (ENA accession numbers ERR753524-ERR753530) but we make the entire dataset, including our de novo assembly, available with this article (see 'Availability of supporting data' section).

**Table 6** Characteristics of predicted protein-coding genes in the Pogona vitticeps assembly and comparison with Anolis carolensis,

 Gallus gallus and Homo sapiens

Gene set		Total	Intact ORF	Single exon gene	Gene length (bp)	mRNA length (bp)	Exons per gene	Exon length (bp)	Intron length (bp)
Homolog	Anolis carolinensis	16,009	2,583	1,668	23,021	1,524	8.57	178	2,839
	Gallus gallus	12,727	2,068	1,509	27,608	1,558	9.06	172	3,232
	Homo sapiens	13,544	2,456	1,250	32,551	1,699	9.75	174	3,528
	Combined	18,033	3,263	2,180	26,631	1,577	8.93	177	3,160
De novo (Augustus)		32,110	32,110	6,767	14,109	1,125	6.07	185	2,561
Transcripto	me	22,986	14,555	2,951	12,511	1,214	6.99	174	1,885
Merged		19,406	12,172	1,999	26,215	1,642	9.24	178	2,984
Other	Anolis carolinensis	17,805	4,280	1,372	23,469	1,526	9.55	160	2,566
species	Gallus gallus	16,736	7,777	1,684	21,314	1,438	9.35	154	2,379
	Homo sapiens	21,849	20,905	2,602	46,301	1,635	9.44	173	5,293

Except for the columns headed Total, Intact ORF and Single exon gene, the values presented are means. *Abbreviation: ORF* open reading frame

### Annotation

Transposable elements and other repetitive elements were identified using a combination of homology, at both the DNA and protein levels, and *de novo* prediction. In the homology-based approach, we searched Repbase [13] for known transposable elements, used RepeatMasker [14] for DNA homology search against the Repbase database, and used WuBlastX to search against the transposable element protein database provided within RepeatProteinMask (bundled in RepeatMasker). In the de novo approach, we used RepeatModeler [15] and LTR\_FINDER [16] to predict repetitive elements. Tandem repeats were identified using Tandem Repeats Finder [17]. The relative success of the different approaches is shown in Table 4. Overall, we identified about 690 Mbp of repetitive sequences accounting for 39.47 % of the genome, of which the predominant elements were long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs, which accounted for 33 % of repetitive sequences representing 12.2 % of the genome) (Table 5).

We combined homology-based, de novo and transcriptome-based methods to predict gene content of the assembly. In the homology-based prediction, the assembly was annotated by generating reference sets of A. carolinensis, Gallus gallus and Homo sapiens proteins, and aligning the reference sets to the assembly using TBLASTN (version 2.2.23; E-value  $\leq 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ). The resultant homologous genome sequences were then aligned against matching proteins using Genewise (version wise2-2-0) [18] to define gene models. In the de novo prediction, we randomly selected 1,000 genes with intact open reading frames (ORFs) as predicted by the homology-based approach to train the Augustus gene prediction tool (version 2.5.5) [19] with the parameters appropriate to *P. vitticeps*. The de novo gene prediction was then performed with Augustus applied to the genome after repeat sequences were masked as described above. In the transcriptomebased approach, we mapped transcriptome reads to the assembly using TopHat (version 1.3.1) [20], which can align reads across splice junctions. These mapped reads were assembled into transcripts using Cufflinks (version 1.3.0) [21] and then merged across samples (n = 7, Table 3) into a single transcriptome annotation using the Cuffmerge option.

The results of the three approaches were combined into a non-redundant gene set of 19,406 protein-encoding genes, 63 % of which included intact ORFs (Table 6). Most of the predicted genes were supported by RNA-seq signals (Table 3).

To assign gene names to each predicted protein-coding locus, we mapped the 19,406 genes to an Ensembl library collated from *A. carolinensis*, chicken *G. gallus*, human *H. sapiens*, western clawed frog *Xenopus tropicalis* and zebrafish *Danio rerio*. The name associated with the best hit for each *P. vitticeps* gene was assigned to each of 19,083 genes. Most of these genes (16,510) mapped to a homolog even at high stringency (>80 % of protein length aligned).

### Bacterial artificial chromosome library

A large-insert genomic DNA bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library was constructed from DNA from a wild-caught female dragon lizard (TC1542) confirmed to have the ZW genotype using sex-linked PCR markers [3, 4] and cytologically [3]. The library is estimated to represent  $6.3 \times$  of genome coverage, and is comprised of 92,160 clones with an average insert size of 120 kbp. This resource is commercially available through Amplicon Express (Pullman, WA, USA; http://ampliconexpress.com).

**Table 7** Comparison of mean GC content for available tetrapod genomes

Organism	Genome version	Mean GC	SD
Pogona vitticeps	pvi1.1.Jan.2013	0.418	0.037
P. vitticeps - microchromosomes	pvi1.1.Jan.2013	0.445	0.050
P. vitticeps - macrochromosomes	pvi1.1.Jan.2013	0.409	0.029
P. vitticeps - Z chromosome	pvi1.1.Jan.2013	0.469	0.037
Xenopus tropicalis	JGI_4.2	0.398	0.038
Anolis carolinensis	AnoCar2	0.403	0.032
Canis familiaris	CanFam3.1	0.413	0.069
Mus musculus	GRCm38	0.417	0.046
Gallus gallus	Galgal4	0.416	0.059
Crocodylus porosus	croc_sub2	0.442	0.050
Pelodiscus sinensis	PelSin_1.0	0.441	0.053
Chrysemys picta	ChrPicBel3.0.1	0.437	0.055
Python bivittatus	python_5.0	0.396	0.042
Ophiophagus hannah	GCA_000516915.1 (NCBI)	0.386	0.040

Abbreviation: SD standard deviation



# Anchoring sequences to chromosomes

Our previously published cytogenetic map of *P. vitticeps* consisted of 87 BACs that were mapped to the macrochromosomes (64 BACs) and microchromosomes (23 BACs) [1]. We mapped an additional 80 BACs, extending the set to 125 markers on macrochromosomes and 42 on microchromosomes. Sequence scaffolds were anchored to chromosomes by 52 loci, contained in the BACs, that are conserved in homologous syntenic blocks across amniotes (*A. carolinensis, G. gallus, H. sapiens*). By using gene synteny information 37.9 % (670 Mbp) of the sequenced



Pogona macrochromosomes share the lack of isochore structure

reported for the Anolis genome



genome has been assigned to chromosomes (Deakin et al., unpublished data).

# Sex chromosome sequences

The sex of *P. vitticeps* is determined by a combination of chromosomal constitution and influence of environmental

	Bearded dragon	Burmese python	King cobra	Saltwater crocodile	Chicken	Green anole	American alligator	Gharial	Chinese softshell turtle	Green sea turtle	Western painted turtle
	Pogona vitticeps	Python bivittatus	Ophiophagus hannah	Crocodylus porosus	Gallus gallus	Anolis carolinensis	Alligator mississippiensis	Gavialis gangeticus	Pelodiscus sinensis	Chelonia mydas	Chrysemys picta
Assembler	SOAP deNovo	SOAP deNovo	CLC NGS Cell (version 2011)	AllPaths (version R41313)	Celera Assembler (version 5.4)	Arachne (version 3.0.0)	Allpaths (version R41313) <sup>a</sup>	SOAP deNovo	SOAP deNovo	SOAP deNovo	Newbler
Sequence method	Illumina HiSeq 2000	Illumina GAIIx & HiSeq 2000, Roche 454	Illumina HiSeq	Illumina GAII & HiSeq 2000	Sanger, Roche 454	Sanger	Illumina GAII & HiSeq 2000	Illumina GAII	Illumina HiSeq 2000	Illumina HiSeq 2000	Roche 454, Illumina, Sanger
Average read depth	85.5X	20X	28X	74X	12X	7.1X	68X	109X	105.6X	110X	15X
Genome size (Gbp)	1.77	1.44	1.36-1.59	2.12	1.20		2.17	2.88	2.21	2.24	2.6
Total bases in contigs (excluding unknown bases, Ns)	1,747,541,145	1,384,532,810	1,380,486,984	2,088,185,434	1,032,841,023	1,701,336,547	2,129,643,287	2,198,585,703	2,106,622,020	2,110,365,500	2,173,204,098
Total bases in scaffolds	1,816,115,349	1,435,035,089	1.66 Gbp	2,120,573,303	1,046,932,099	1,799,143,587	2,174,259,888	2,270,567,745	2,202,483,752	2,208,410,377	2,365,766,571
No. of scaffolds (>100 bp)	543,500	39,113	-	23,365	16,847	6,645	14,645	9,317	19,904	140,023	78,631
N50 scaffold (kbp)	2,291	214	226	204	12,877	4,033	509	2,188	3,351	3,864	6,606
No. of contigs (>100 bp)	636,524	274,244	816,633	112,407	27,041	41,986	114,159	177,282	205,380	274,367	262,326
N50 contig (kbp)	31.2	10.7	5.2	32.7	279	79.9	36	23.4	22.0	29.2	21.3
Repeat content	39.5	31.8	35.2	37.5	9.4	34.4	37.7	37.6	42.47	37.35	9.82
No. protein-coding genes	19,406	17262	-	13,321	15,508	17,472	23,323	14,043	19,327	19,633	-

# Table 8 Comparison of sequencing platform, assembler, and assembly statistics for the reptiles for which a genome sequence is available

Information is taken from the NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly), with additional data from the primary papers in which the findings were originally published. <sup>a</sup>Manual scaffolding

7

temperature on the developing embryo. *P. vitticeps* has female heterogamety (with ZZ male and ZW female individuals), and the Z and W chromosomes are among the ten pairs of microchromosomes [2]. Sex chromosome heteromorphy is evident by C-banding, but the degree of differentiation of the Z and W chromosomes is slight [2]. The sex chromosomes of *P. vitticeps* are not homologous to the sex chromosomes of chicken (*G. gallus*) or other reptiles so far examined [22]. The ZZ genotype is reversed to a female phenotype at high incubation temperatures [3, 4].

Our laboratory has previously identified a sex-linked sequence using amplified fragment length polymorphism screening and genome walking [4, 23]. Five contiguous BAC clones containing sex-linked markers that map to the sex chromosome pair were sequenced to reveal 352 kbp of *P. vitticeps* sex chromosome sequence [24]. This region contained five protein-coding genes (*oprd1, rcc1, znf91, znf131* and *znf180*) and several major families of repetitive sequences (long terminal repeat [LTR] and non-LTR retro-transposons, including chicken repeat 1 [CR1] and bovine B LINEs [Bov-B LINEs]) [1, 24].

More recently, we amplified micro-dissected W-chromosome fragments to yield many sex chromosome

sequence tags that were reciprocally mapped to their Z homologs (Matsubara et al., unpublished data). All putative sex chromosome scaffolds were confirmed to co-localize with the known ZW-BAC Pv3-L07 when physically mapped (Deakin et al., unpublished data). In this way we identified 12.8 Mbp of the Z chromosome (on three scaffolds) and increased the number of confirmed sex chromosome genes to 240 (Deakin et al., unpublished data).

# GC content and isochore structure

We investigated patterns of GC content variation in the *P. vitticeps* genome using two approaches. First, we examined the absolute GC content in non-overlapping 5 kbp windows for several genomes (*P. vitticeps, A. carolinensis* [25], Burmese python *Python bivittatus* [26], king cobra *Ophiophagus hannah* [27], western painted turtle *Chrysemys picta* [28], Chinese softshell turtle *Pelodiscus sinensis* [29], saltwater crocodile *Crocodylus porosus* [30] chicken *G. gallus*, mouse *Mus musculus*, domestic dog *Canis familiaris* [31] and western clawed frog *X. tropicalis* [32]; Table 7; Fig. 2). We then examined variation in GC composition for these same genomes at increasing spatial scales (5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160 and 320 kbp windows; Fig. 3). We also looked at different subsets of the



*P. vitticeps* genome, including macrochromosomes and microchromosomes, and the Z chromosome (Fig. 4a), by restricting the analysis to scaffolds that have been physically mapped (Deakin et al., unpublished data).

The macrochromosomes of P. vitticeps are largely devoid of variation in GC content at small (5 kbp) spatial scales. In fact, P. vitticeps macrochromosomes are more uniform in terms of GC distribution than is the A. carolinensis genome (standard deviation 0.029 versus 0.032 respectively; Table 7). With the exception of the Z microchromosome, P. vitticeps microchromosomes possess a heterogeneous distribution of GC-rich sequences over 5 kbp windows (Fig. 4a). In this regard, P. vitticeps microchromosomes resemble those of birds but differ markedly from those of A. carolinensis, whose GC content more closely resembles that of the macrochromosomes [33]. Intriguingly, the Z microchromosome of P. vitticeps has an average GC content comparable to that of coding sequences and short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs) (Fig. 4b), which suggests that this chromosome may be enriched in these GC-rich components of the genome. However, with the exception of LTR transposable elements, all components (CDS, introns, tandem repeats and transposable elements) showed greater GC content if they resided on the Z chromosome than elsewhere (Z chromosome > microchromosomes > macrochromosomes; Fig. 4c), suggesting that there are other, as yet unidentified, reasons for the observed variation in GC content across different chromosome classes.

When variation in GC distribution is considered over larger spatial scales (tens to hundreds of kbp, Fig. 3), the *P. vitticeps* macrochromosomes are similar to the *A. carolinensis* genome, which lacks substantial variation in GC composition, a striking departure from isochore patterns seen in mammals and birds [33]. The Z chromosome, too, lacks substantial heterogeneity over larger spatial scales, which perhaps reflects the uniform distribution of repetitive elements along its length [24]. Only the autosomal microchromosomes of *P. vitticeps* bear any similarity in GC distribution to the other sauropsid genomes examined. The *P. vitticeps* genome, therefore, has compositional patterns distinct from that of *A. carolinensis*, which indicates that different processes have shaped the genomes of the two lizards since they shared a common ancestor 144 million years ago.

### Comparison with other assemblies

*P. vitticeps* and *A. carolinensis* had similar scaffold N50 values (2.29 Mbp and 4.03 Mbp, respectively). These values for *P. vitticeps* are surprisingly good, as its genome was assembled from short read sequences, whereas that of *A. carolinensis* was generated using Sanger sequencing. Our assembly compares well to nine other sauropsid genomes, including those of two squamates, two turtles and three crocodilians (Table 8).

The gene parameters listed in Table 6 compare well to those of other vertebrates (see also Fig. 5).

## **Concluding remarks**

The quality of the *P. vitticeps* assembly is comparable to that of other published squamate genomes. This genome assembly, coupled with the availability of a BAC library and the development of a high-density physical map for each chromosome, provides an unparalleled resource for accelerating research on sex determination, major histocompatibility complex evolution, and the evolution of adaptive traits in squamates to complement the advances brought about by the sequencing of the *A. carolinensis* genome [25].

# Availability of supporting data

The genomic and transcriptomic sequence reads and assemblies have been deposited in the ENA under the project accession number PRJEB5206 (see Additional file 1 for a complete list of accession numbers). The genome sequence has been submitted to GigaDB [34] along with other supporting resources, including:

- SoapDeNovo2 pvi1.1.Jan2013 genome assembly (ENA accession number ERZ094017)
- Trinity *de novo* transcriptome assembly (ENA accession number ERZ097159)
- Peptide and coding sequences for the pvi1.1.Jan2013 assembly
- Gene annotations and repeat annotations for the scaffolds
- Sequence Read Archive accession numbers for all sequencing runs.

The annotated *P. vitticeps* genome sequence can be accessed through a publicly available genome browser [35].

# Additional file

Additional file 1: ENA accession numbers. (XLSX 18 kb)

### Abbreviations

BAC: Bacterial artificial chromosome; bp: Base pair; ENA: European Nucleotide Archive; indel: Sequence insertion or deletion; k-mer: Short sequence of length k; LINE: Long interspersed nuclear element; LTR: Long terminal repeat; N50: 50 % of the genome sequence is contained in contigs (or scaffolds) equal to or greater than this length; ORF: Open reading frame; SINE: Short interspersed nuclear element; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

AG and QL led the analyses and the project. AG, JAMG, JD and PW contributed funds to enable this genome to be sequenced and worked with GZ and QL on the approach to generating the data and the analyses. GZ and QL provided oversight of the sequencing and analysis through the BGI-Shenzhen, China. JL conducted the genome survey and genome assembly, assessment of assembly quality, synteny analysis, SNP identification and analysis of sex-linked scaffolds. ZW

undertook the gene and repeat annotation. SS, JAMG and AG provided access to the *P. vitticeps* BAC library and associated resources. JD oversaw the cytological work of KM, who undertook the work necessary to identify the chromosomal sex of Fabian, complemented by work of CH and XZ using sex-linked markers. DO'M and HP handled the bioinformatics work required to deliver the genome sequence through the browser. PZ analysed the transcriptomes and construct transcript models that were used for gene annotation. MF and YZ undertook the CG and isochore analyses. All authors contributed to the preparation of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Authors' information

GZ, AG and JAMG are members of the Genome 10K Consortium.

### Acknowledgements

We are indebted to the BGI-Shenzhen, China, for its contribution to the sequencing of the *P. vitticeps* genome and subsequent bioinformatics work. The Institute for Applied Ecology at the University of Canberra, Australia provided access to resources and facilities. The Institute, the Faculty of Applied Science and the Office of the PVC Research, University of Canberra, and China National GeneBank-Shenzhen contributed funding in support of this project. Tariq Ezaz provided advice and supervision of the cytogenetic work undertaken by Kazumi Matsubara.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Applied Ecology, University of Canberra, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia. <sup>2</sup>China National GeneBank, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China. <sup>3</sup>Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5-7, Copenhagen 1350, Denmark. <sup>4</sup>School of Bioscience and Bioengineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China. <sup>5</sup>School of Biotechnology & Biomolecular Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia. <sup>6</sup>John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia. <sup>7</sup>Department of Biology, University of Texas at Arlington, 701 S. Nedderman Drive, Arlington, TX 76019, USA. <sup>8</sup>School of Life Science, La Trobe University, Melbourne, VIC 3086, Australia. <sup>9</sup>Centre for Social Evolution, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark.

### Received: 20 June 2015 Accepted: 14 September 2015 Published online: 28 September 2015

### References

- Young MJ, O'Meally D, Sarre SD, Georges A, Ezaz T. Molecular cytogenetic map of the central bearded dragon *Pogona vitticeps* (Squamata: Agamidae). Chromosom Res. 2013;21:361–74.
- Ezaz T, Quinn AE, Miura I, Sarre SD, Georges A, Graves JAM. The dragon lizard *Pogona vitticeps* has ZZ/ZW micro-sex chromosomes. Chromosom Res. 2005;13:763–76.
- Holleley CE, O'Meally D, Sarre SD, Graves JAM, Ezaz T, Matsubara K, et al. Sex reversal triggers the rapid transition from genetic to temperature dependent sex. Nature. 2015;523:79–82.
- Quinn AE, Georges A, Sarre SD, Guarino F, Ezaz T, Graves JAM. Temperature sex reversal implies sex gene dosage in a reptile. Science. 2007;316:411.
- MacCulloch RD, Upton DE, Murphy RW. Trends in nuclear DNA content among amphibians and reptiles. Comp Biochem Physiol. 1996;113B:601–5.
- 6. Doležel J, Bartoš J, Voglmayr H, Greilhuber J. Nuclear DNA content and genome size of trout and human. Cytometry. 2003;51A:127–8.
- Marcais G, Kingsford C. A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting of occurrences of k-mers. Bioinformatics. 2011;27:764–70.
- Li R, Fan W, Tian G, Zhu H, He L, Cai J, et al. The sequence and de novo assembly of the giant panda genome. Nature. 2010;463:311–7.
- Li R, Zhu H, Ruan J, Qian W, Fang X, Shi Z, et al. De novo assembly of human genomes with massively parallel short read sequencing. Genome Res. 2010;20:265–72.
- 10. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:1754–60.
- 11. Parra G, Bradnam K, Korf I. CEGMA: A pipeline to accurately annotate core genes in eukaryotic genomes. Bioinformatics. 2007;23:1061–7.
- Grabherr M, Haas B, Yassour M, Levin J, Thompson D, Amit I, et al. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29:644–52.

- Jurka J, Kapitonov W, Pavlicek A, Klonowski P, Kohany O, Walichiewicz J. Repbase Update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2005;110:462–7.
- Smit AFA, Hubley R, Green P. RepeatMasker Open-3.0 1996–2010. Institute for Systems Biology, Seattle, WA, USA. 1996. http://www.repeatmasker.org. Accessed 20-Dec-14 2014.
- Smit AFA, Hubley R. RepeatModeler Open-1.0. 2008–2015. . Institute for Systems Biology, Seattle, WA, USA. 2008. http://www.repeatmasker.org. Accessed 20-Dec-2014 2014.
- 16. Xu Z, Wang H. LTR\_FINDER: an efficient tool for the prediction of full-length LTR retrotransposons. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35:W265–8.
- Benson G. Tandem repeats finder: A program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 1999;27:573–80.
- Birney E, Clamp M, Durbin R. GeneWise and Genomewise. Genome Res. 2004;14:988–95.
- 19. Stanke M, Waack S. Gene prediction with a hidden Markov model and a new intron submodel. Bioinformatics. 2003;19 Suppl 2:215–25.
- Trapnell C, Pachter L, Salzberg SL. TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:1105–11.
- Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, van Baren MJ, et al. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat Biotechnol. 2010;28:511–5.
- 22. Ezaz T, Moritz B, Waters PD, Graves JAM, Georges A, Sarre SD. The ZW sex microchromosomes of an Australian dragon lizard share no homology with those of other reptiles or birds. Chromosom Res. 2009;17:965–73.
- Quinn AE, Ezaz T, Sarre SD, Graves JAM, Georges A. Extension, single-locus conversion and physical mapping of sex chromosome sequences identify the Z microchromosome and pseudo-autosomal region in a dragon lizard. Pogona vitticeps Heredity. 2010;104:410–7.
- Ezaz T, Azad B, O'Meally D, Young MJ, Matsubara K, Edwards MJ, et al. Sequence and gene content of a large fragment of a lizard sex chromosome and evaluation of candidate sex differentiating gene R-spondin1. BMC Genomics. 2013;14:899.
- Alfoldi J, di Palma F, Grabherr M, Williams C, Kong L, Mauceli E, et al. The genome of the green anole lizard and a comparative analysis with birds and mammals. Nature. 2011;477:587–91.
- Castoe T, de Koning A, Hall K, Card D, Schield D, Fujita M, et al. The Burmese python genome reveals the molecular basis for extreme adaptation in snakes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110:20645–50.
- Vonk F, Casewell N, Henkel C, Heimberg A, Jansen H, McCleary R, et al. The king cobra genome reveals dynamic gene evolution and adaptation in the snake venom system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110:20651–6.
- Shaffer H, Minx P, Warren D, Shedlock A, Thomson R, Valenzuela N, et al. The western painted turtle genome, a model for the evolution of extreme physiological adaptations in a slowly evolving lineage. Genome Biol. 2013;14:R28.
- Wang Z, Pascual-Anaya J, Zadissa A, Li W, Niimura Y, Huang Z, et al. The draft genomes of soft-shell turtle and green sea turtle yield insights into the development and evolution of the turtle-specific body plan. Nat Genet. 2013;45:701–6.
- St John J, Braun E, Isberg S, Miles L, Chong A, Gongora J, et al. Sequencing three crocodilian genomes to illuminate the evolution of archosaurs and amniotes. Genome Biol. 2012;13:415.
- Kirkness EF, Bafna V, Halpern AL, Levy S, Remington K, Rusch DB, et al. The dog genome: survey sequencing and comparative analysis. Science. 2003;301:1898–903.
- Hellsten U, Harland RM, Gilchrist MJ, Hendrix D, Jurka J, Kapitonov V, et al. The genome of the Western clawed frog *Xenopus tropicalis*. Science. 2010;328:633–6.
- Fujita MK, Edwards SV, Ponting CP. The Anolis lizard genome: An amniote genome without isochores. Genome Biol Evol. 2010;3:974–84.
- Georges A, Li Q, Lian J, O'Meally D, Deakin J, Wang Z et al.. Genome of the Australian dragon lizard *Pogona vitticeps*. 2015. GigaScience Database. http://gigadb.org/dataset/100166.
- Georges A, O'Meally D, Genomics@UC. The Pogona vitticeps genome browser (pvi1.1 Jan 2013). Institute for Applied Ecology. Canberra: University of Canberra; 2015. https://genomics.canberra.edu.au/. Accessed 1-Sep-2015.